| Report to: | Children's Services Scrutiny Committee |
|------------|--|
| Date: | 24 November 2005 |
| By: | Director of Law and Performance Management |
| Title: | Quarter 2 (Q2) monitoring report against the 2005-06 Council Plan |
| Purpose: | To provide a summary of performance after 6 months against the 2005-06 Council Plan |

RECOMMENDATION - the Committee is asked to:

- (1) consider the report noting the achievements in paragraphs 2 and 3 and the Q2 comment in paragraph 4 and in each Key Objective shown in Appendix 1; and
- (2) re-establish the Best Value Performance Indicators (BVPI) Review Board to consider BVPI targets for 2006/07.

1. Financial Implications

1.1 There are no financial implications directly associated with this report.

2. Corporate achievements/awards update

2.1 The Council won a National Training Award issued by Ukskills and the ODPM for the IT training and Change Management programme it implemented to support the introduction of CBOSS. The awards are open to both public and private sector organisations and we were the only local authority to be short listed and to win.

2.2 East Sussex has achieved second place overall in the LGC's national Management Challenge Award. This is an astonishing achievement given the County Council won it only two years ago and were sixth overall last year - an outstanding record. The competition involves stiff opposition drawn from over 50 local authorities all across the country. We were again the highest scoring County Council.

3. Children's Services achievements

- 55% 5 A*-C at GCSE is the highest performance of the Council and eight secondary schools had their best ever results;
- The significant progress achieved in Key Stage 2 for 2004 has been maintained.
- Between April and August, 100% of statements of special educational need (SEN) were prepared by the Authority within 18 weeks, excluding those affected by 'exceptions to the rule' under the SEN code of Practice (target 99.4%).
- Between April and August, 97% statements of special educational need were prepared by the Authority within 18 weeks, including those affected by 'exceptions to the rule' under the SEN Code of Practice (target 83.2%).

4. Performance Overview

4.1 Children's Services have reported 13 confirmed red scores (all attainment targets). Seven measures have either maintained the progress made last year or made improvements and the rest show a decline in our performance. Available data show that on the whole our performance is a broad reflection of the picture nationally. The Education Development Plan priorities aim to address the improvement of attainment results within Children's Services for 2006/07.

5. Reconvening BVPI Review Boards

5.1 Member involvement in challenging performance is vital to improve services and to improve accountability for our results. A further key area where direct Member involvement is of tremendous benefit to service improvement is BVPI target setting.

5.2 Following the success of the earlier BVPI boards, it is recommended to reconvene the boards in late January 2006 to:

- review BVPIs to help ensure that targets are sufficiently ambitious;
- "stretch" the department, being mindful of the key priorities of the Council and the available resources; and
- ensure that these targets are supported by robust action plans and monitoring arrangements.

ANDREW OGDEN Director of Law and Performance Management

Contact Officer: Gillian Rickels Tel: 01273 481796 email; <u>gillian.rickels@eastsussex.gov.uk</u>

Key Objective 3 - Meet integrated needs through integrated services

| | 3.4 Development of Early Years services | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|-------|-------|-------|--|--|--|
| KST 3.4 Q2 Comment: Groups have been established and have met as planned. Delivery arrangements are being developed. The Early Years Strategy and rollout plan for Children's Centres has been approve by Cabinet. The Childcare Bill and Code of Practice consultation responses have been prepared. O-Strategy Group has been formed as part of the Children's Trust arrangements. | | | | | | | | |
| | Performance Measure | | Qtr 2 | Qtr 3 | Qtr 4 | | | |
| 3.4b Incre | ease the total number of childcare places from 11,133 to 12,417 | Α | Α | | | | | |
| This infor Q1 report and open interventi | | | | | | | | |

Key Objective 4 - Raise educational standards

| | Improved achievement of pupils at Key Stage (KS) 1 (age 5 – 7) | | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|---|-------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| KST 4.1 | Q2 Comment: At KS1, results in recent years have been below national averages; the attainment gap has narrowed since 2002 at level 2 and has narrowed significantly at level 2B which is a good indicator of level 4 performance at Key Stage 2. At Key Stage 1, performance at level 3 remains in need of further improvement. Targeted support is being provided to schools that are underachieving. Tasks / actions for improvement are set out under Priority 1 in the Education Development Plan. | | | | | | | |
| | All key service target 4.1 measures are reporting from a different bas testing has now changed. At the time of writing the Council plan figu Unseen tests are now not taken so figures are based on teacher ass | ires were | based o | | | | | |
| | Historical baseline data is available as teacher assessment has alwatests and the 2004 baseline data is included in each comment. | ays taken | place as | well as | unseen | | | |
| 4.1a Inci | ance Measure rease the percentage of pupils achieving Level 2 or above in the KS1 eading from 83.1% to 85.0% | Qtr 1 | Qtr 2 | Qtr 3 | Qtr 4 | | | |
| Testing a above in | ment - amendment at KS1 is now based on teacher assessment only. In 2004 and 2005, 8 the KS1 testing for reading. This matches the national rate of progress ant in reading. Tasks / actions for improvement are set out under Priori | s. Prograi | nmes ar | e in place | e to raise | | | |
| 4.1b Inci | ance Measure rease the percentage of pupils achieving Level 2 or above in the KS1 vriting from 80.7% to 82.0% | Qtr 1 | Qtr 2 | Qtr 3 | Qtr 4 | | | |
| the KS1 decline i | ment at KS1 is now based on teacher assessment only. In 2004, 82% of pup testing for writing, in 2005 81% of pupils achieved Level 2 or above in | | | | ovo in | | | |
| | n progress locally matches the national rate of progress in writing. Com ace for all schools where this is an issue. Schools are responsive to tra- ons for improvement are set out under Priority 1 in the Education Devel | nprehensi aining and | ve support | ort progra | This 1% ammes | | | |
| And action Perform 4.1d Inc | n progress locally matches the national rate of progress in writing. Com ace for all schools where this is an issue. Schools are responsive to tra | nprehensi aining and | ve support | ort progra | This 1% ammes | | | |
| And action Perform 4.1d Inc test for re- Q2 com Testing a arranger achieved to raise a | n progress locally matches the national rate of progress in writing. Com ace for all schools where this is an issue. Schools are responsive to tra- tions for improvement are set out under Priority 1 in the Education Devel ance Measure crease the percentage of pupils achieving Level 3 or above in the KS1 eading from 27.6% to 28% | to chang and nation and nation | ve support Plan. Qtr 2 R es to tes pnally. 25 Programr | ting 5% of punces are i | This 1% ammes g. Tasks Qtr 4 pils n place | | | |
| And action Perform 4.1d Inc test for r Q2 com Testing a arranger achieved to raise a Develop Perform | n progress locally matches the national rate of progress in writing. Com ace for all schools where this is an issue. Schools are responsive to tra- ons for improvement are set out under Priority 1 in the Education Devel mance Measure crease the percentage of pupils achieving Level 3 or above in the KS1 eading from 27.6% to 28% ment at KS1 is now based on teacher assessment only. As anticipated, due ments, the number of pupils attaining level 3 has reduced by 2% locally d Level 3 or above in the KS1 testing for reading, compared with 27% in attainment in reading. Tasks / actions for improvement are set out und | to chang and nation and nation | ve support Plan. Qtr 2 R es to tes pnally. 25 Programr | ting 5% of punces are i | This 1% ammes g. Tasks Qtr 4 pils n place | | | |
| And action Perform 4.1d Ind test for m Q2 com Testing a arranger achieved to raise a Develop Perform 4.1e Ind | n progress locally matches the national rate of progress in writing. Com ace for all schools where this is an issue. Schools are responsive to tra- tions for improvement are set out under Priority 1 in the Education Devel ance Measure crease the percentage of pupils achieving Level 3 or above in the KS1 eading from 27.6% to 28% ment at KS1 is now based on teacher assessment only. As anticipated, due nents, the number of pupils attaining level 3 has reduced by 2% locally d Level 3 or above in the KS1 testing for reading, compared with 27% in attainment in reading. Tasks / actions for improvement are set out und ment Plan. | to chang and nation 2004. Ferriority | ve support Plan. Qtr 2 R es to tess phally. 25 Programm y 1 in the | ting 5% of pu Education | This 1% ammes g. Tasks Qtr 4 pils n place on | | | |

| | ance Measure | Qtr 1 | Qtr 2 | Qtr 3 | Qtr 4 |
|--|---|---|---|--|---|
| | ease the percentage of pupils achieving Level 3 or above in the KS1 nathematics from 27.3% to 29% | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | _ R | | |
| arrangen achieved range of | nent It KS1 is now based on teacher assessment only. As anticipated, due nents, the number of pupils attaining level 3 has reduced by 4% locally Level 3 or above in the KS1 testing for mathematics, compared with 2 support for mathematics is in place. Tasks / actions for improvement a n Development Plan. | and 3% 24% in 20 | nationall 04. A co | y. 20% o mprehe | nsive |
| | 4.2 Improved achievement of pupils at KS2 (age 7 – 11) | | | | |
| KST 4.2 | Q2 Comment The rate of improvement in achievement for East Sussex pupils is in averages and that of statistical neighbours. At Key Stage 2 the overa been sustained into 2005, with further improvement in mathematics line with the national average. A third of all East Sussex primary schools have made at least 5% por mathematics and/or English. Targeted support is being provided to Tasks / actions for improvement are set out under Priority 2 in the Equ | all levels at level 4 pints impr schools t | of attainn ; results ovement hat are u | nent in 2 continue in attain nderachi | 004 have to be in ment in eving. |
| | ance Measure ease the percentage of pupils achieving Level 4 or above in the KS2 | Qtr 1 | Qtr 2 | Qtr 3 | Qtr 4 |
| | hathematics from 74.0% to 82.0% | | D | | |
| | | | R | | |
| improved neighbou | nent upils achieved level 4 or above. This is a 1% increase in attainment; it I authorities in 2004, matches the 1% increase nationally in 2005 and e Irs in 2005. A comprehensive range of support for mathematics is in pl nent are set out under Priority 2 in the Education Development Plan. | exceeds | es well wi | by statis | |
| 75% of p improved neighbou improver | upils achieved level 4 or above. This is a 1% increase in attainment; it I authorities in 2004, matches the 1% increase nationally in 2005 and e Irs in 2005. A comprehensive range of support for mathematics is in pl | exceeds | es well wi | by statis | |

Q2 comment

78% of pupils achieved level 4 or above. This static achievement sustains the increased attainment of last year, it compares well with other most improved authorities in 2004 but less well with the 1% increase nationally and by statistical neighbours in 2005. Analysis of root causes is in place. A comprehensive programme of support has been offered to all schools where this is an issue. Tasks / actions for improvement are set out under Priority 2 in the Education Development Plan.

| | 4.3 Improved achievement of pupils at KS3 (age 11- 14) | | | | | | | |
|------------|--|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|--|--|--|
| | 4.5 improved achievement of pupils at K35 (age 11- 14) | | | | | | | |
| KST 4.3 | Q2 Comment: Following a significantly improved set of Key Stage 3 (unvalidated as yet) show attainment in English and mathematics to with English results improving by 2% at Level 5+. Science results, he average and the rate of improvement between 2004 and 2005 was b mathematics and science. Specific actions to raise standards for 200 current Education Development Plan (EDP). | be at the owever, a elow the | national are 2% be national | average elow the average | level, national in | | | |
| | ince Measure | Qtr 1 | Qtr 2 | Qtr 3 | Qtr 4 | | | |
| | ease the percentage of pupils achieving Level 5 or above in the KS3 English from 72.0% to 77.0% | | R | | | | | |
| target of | nent esults at Level 5+ KS3 improved by 2% to 74% and are in line with the 77% was not met. Actions to raise standards in English further are set a Development Plan (EDP). | | | | | | | |
| | Ince Measure | Qtr 1 | Qtr 2 | Qtr 3 | Qtr 4 | | | |
| | ease the percentage of pupils achieving Level 5 or above in the KS3 nathematics from 76.0% to 79.0% | | R | | | | | |
| measure | nent results in mathematics, at 74%, match the national average. However from the good results in 2004. Actions to raise standards further in ma in the Education Development Plan (EDP). | | | | | | | |
| | Ince Measure | Qtr 1 | Qtr 2 | Qtr 3 | Qtr 4 | | | |
| | ease the percentage of pupils achieving Level 5 or above in the KS3 Science from 68.0% to 74.0% | | R | | | | | |
| has, in pa | nent esults at Level 5+ were unchanged in 2005. Considerable variation in irt, resulted from difficulties recruiting retained skilled specialist teache poost recruitment and ensure best use of existing staff. | | | | | | | |
| | 4.4 Improved achievement at KS4 (age 14 – 16) | | | | | | | |
| KST 4.4 | Q2 Comment: Currently East Sussex only has very provisional data, collected directly from schools. This data has yet to be validated by DfES. Neither national comparative data nor data about the performance of statistical neighbours is yet available. Tasks / actions for improvement are set out under Priorities 4 & 5 in the Education Development Plan (EDP). | | | | | | | |

| Performance Measure | Qtr 1 | Qtr 2 | Qtr 3 | Qtr 4 |
|--|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| 4.4a Increase the percentage of pupils achieving five or more GCSEs at grades A*-C or equivalent from 54.2% to 58.0% | | R | | |

Q2 comment

Initial, unvalidated data collected from schools indicates that just over 55% of East Sussex pupils [including special schools] gained 5 A* to C grades in 2005. This represents a rise in the region of 1% over 2004 which falls short of the 2005 target of 58%. A five year rising trend has now been established for this threshold indicator. Tasks / actions for improvement are set out under Priority 4 in the Education Development Plan (EDP).

| | 4.6 DfES published outcomes for gifted and talented learners met | | | | | | |
|---|---|------------|-----------|--------|-------|--|--|
| KST 4.6 | Q2 Comment Gifted and talented strategy published. Interview for advisory post (to develop a programme of enrichment activities for pupils) due to take place on Sept 19th. Collecting and analysing baseline data for gifted and talented pupils will be part of the target-setting and data-gathering processes autumn 2005. | | | | | | |
| | Ince Measure | Qtr 1 | Qtr 2 | Qtr 3 | Qtr 4 | | |
| | rease the percentage of pupils achieving Level 5 or above in the for English from 26.0% to 30.0% | | R | | | | |
| Q2 comment - amendment Nationally results at level 5 have remained static. This is matched in East Sussex schools where 26% of pupils achieved Level 5 or above in the KS2 test for English. Tasks / actions for improvement are set out under Priority 2 in the Education Development Plan. Performance Measure Qtr 1 Qtr 2 Qtr 3 Qtr 4 | | | | | | | |
| | ease the percentage of pupils achieving Level 5 or above in the KS2 athematics from 30.0% to 32.0% | | R | | | | |
| Q2 comment Nationally results at level 5 have remained static. In East Sussex schools 29% of pupils achieved Level 5 or above in the KS2 test for mathematics. This is a 1% reduction on 2004 results. Analysis of root causes and increased training offer are in place. Tasks / actions for improvement are set out under Priority 2 in the Education Development Plan. | | | | | | | |
| | Ince Measure | Qtr 1 | Qtr 2 | Qtr 3 | Qtr 4 | | |
| | ease the percentage of pupils achieving Level 7 or above in KS3 English from 7.4% to 9.0% | | Α | | | | |
| | nent – warning al data shows a figure of 6.8%. Gifted and talented strategy sets out a | actions fo | r improve | ement. | | | |

| | Enhanced support for use of ICT | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|---|----------------------------------|
| KST 4.8 | Q1 Comment ICT Support embedded into Schools Receiving Additional Support (in amount of cancelled ICT courses Spring 05 to date (15% ICT vs 1 (Hands on Support - a joint DfES, Primary National Strategy, KS3 S aims to provide teachers with an opportunity to share and spread go teaching and learning across all subjects and key stages) and Seco Primary network groups active. ICT Strategy launched. Standards a monitored in every school and college through contact adviser visits Q2 Comment As Q1. In addition, from Jan 05 to Jul 05 no primary school in East S unsatisfactory Ofsted judgement for ICT. | 19% for se trategy ar bod practi ndary Lea nd quality | ervice). P nd 14-19 ce in usir ading Tea of ICT p | rimary H project v ng ICT to achers in rovision | OS /hich enhance place. |
| | ince Measure | Qtr 1 | Qtr 2 | Qtr 3 | Qtr 4 |
| 4.8c Increase the percentage of pupils achieving level 5 or above in KS3 tests for ICT from 54% to 75% | | | Α | | |
| KST 4.9 | Improved levels of school attendance (including of looked after child Q2 Comment A priority circular re. school attendance was sent to schools 12 Sep. details of changes to target setting procedures (new legalisation, reg Education Welfare Services), school procedures for fixed term pena | which in gistration Ity reques | codes & a sts; Educ | ation We | lfare |
| | Officer access to SIMS; taking pupils off roll; part-time timetables; R | | | | new |
| | primary pilot project; teenage pregnancy | | | | |
| 4.9b Dec | | Qtr 1 | Qtr 2 | Qtr 3 | new Qtr 4 |
| 4.9b Dec from 0.47 | primary pilot project; teenage pregnancy Ince Measure prease the percentage of unauthorised absence in primary schools % to 0.34% Inent - warning al data suggest an outturn of 0.78%. Schools have now been sent pr | Α | Α | | Qtr 4 |
| 4.9b Dec from 0.47 Q2 Com Provision penalties Performa | primary pilot project; teenage pregnancy ince Measure prease the percentage of unauthorised absence in primary schools % to 0.34% nent - warning al data suggest an outturn of 0.78%. Schools have now been sent pr ince Measure | Α | Α | | Qtr 4 |
| 4.9b Dec from 0.47 Q2 Com Provision penalties Performa 4.9d Dec | primary pilot project; teenage pregnancy ince Measure prease the percentage of unauthorised absence in primary schools % to 0.34% ment - warning al data suggest an outturn of 0.78%. Schools have now been sent pr | A | A for issuir | ng fixed t | Qtr 4 erm |

| | Development of school organisations and structures to support effective learning | Α | | | | |
|------------|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| KST 5.1 | Q2 Comment Central Government did not endorse the LSC/ESCC proposal for post-16 education in Hastings & Rother, and recommended instead that there be no new post-16 college in rural Rother; that Willi Parker and Helenswood schools would retain their Sixth Forms; but the other schools would not; that HCAC be developed on 2 sites. The LSC has until 31 October to respond. The LSC has alre withdrawn its planning application for a rural Rother college. The Primary Development Review F has been considered by the Children's Services Authority SMT. The Deputy Director of Learning School Effectiveness is to direct a project which ensures coherence between recommendations i report and the development of extended schools and the Children's Centres strategy. Small sch issues are being investigated further. | iam and ady Report and n the | | | | |
| | Preparatory work continues on a forward strategy for investment in school buildings in the secondary sector. East Sussex is in the last wave of authorities to receive Building Schools for the Future (BSF) funding - funding will be received in 2012/13. | | | | | |

The score refers to the Key Service Target as a whole rather than the associated measures

Key Objective 6 - Improved Leadership, Management and Governance of Schools

| KST | Improved management of resources in and between schools | | | | | |
|---|---|--|---|--|--------------------------|--|
| 6.2 | Q2 Comment Target for three year school budget plans achieved and sound pro- clawing back of excessive surpluses being drafted for consultation. | | ackling de | eficits. Po | olicy on | |
| Performance Measure | | | Qtr 2 | Qtr 3 | Qtr 4 | |
| 6.2b Reduce the percentage of schools with balances above 5% of their annual budget share to 8% | | Α | Α | | | |
| After adju excess of for 2004// be availa 2005/06. | nent - amendment Isting for advance allocation of Transitional Support Grant, 54% of se 5% of budget share as at 31.3.05. It was not possible to establish t 05 (June 2005) and the target was set before the baseline was available until June 2006 but the high baseline makes it unlikely that we we Recommend amending target to 20% in light of established baseline ncluding Planning, Preparation and Assessment (PPA) time for teach | this baselir able. The ill achieve e (2004/05 | ne until bu next set of the targe outturn) | udget clo of figures t of 8% f . A numb | sedown will not or | |

Amend to: 20%

| Enha | Target 1 Ince pupil attainment at Key Stage 3 for English, Mathematics, ICT and Ice so that: by 2004, 75% of 14 year olds achieve level 5 or above in: | Year 1 2003/04 | Year 2 2004/05 | Year 3 2005/06 | Target 2005/06 | | |
|------|---|-------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|--|--|
| a) | English | 70% | 73% | 74% | 77% | | |
| b) | Mathematics | 72% | 76% | 74% | 79% | | |
| c) | ICT | 57% | 54% | 58% | 75% | | |
| d) | Science (PSA target 70%) | 70% | 68% | 68% | 74% | | |
| R | a) English was the best performing subject both nationally and in East Sussex in 2005. In English there was a two percentage point improvement in performance at the expected threshold indicator (level 5+), establishing a three year trend of improvement in this core subject in East Sussex. The overall improvement in English amongst the ten PSA schools was 0.7 of a percentile point with half of these schools showing an improvement over their 2004 results. Whilst this is less than the average improvement for all East Sussex schools this mirrors the slight improvements achieved by this group of schools in 2004. However the rate of improvement is not sufficient to meet the challenging PSA target set for this subject. | | | | | | |
| R | b) In mathematics there was a two percentage point drop in performance at the expected threshold indicator (level 5+) across East Sussex schools. There is no clear trend of improvement in this core subject. Performance in the ten PSA schools showed a marked drop of 4.5 percentage points. The proportion of pupils gaining level 5+ fell in all ten of the PSA schools with five of the schools showing a drop in excess of five percentage points. Overall, across East Sussex schools, performance against this threshold indicator showed a decline in 2005, whilst the national average rose by 2.0 percentage points. The drop in performance was therefore greater (approximately 2.5 times) amongst the PSA schools than in all East Sussex schools. | | | | | | |
| R | c) In ICT there was a four percentage point improvement in perfor is now slightly above that in 2003 when moderation of the teach for performance in ICT in 2005. | | | | | | |
| R | d) In science performance across all East Sussex schools performance at the expected threshold indicator (level 5+) remained static in 2005. Science was the poorest performing subject both nationally and in East Sussex, although nationally there was a two percentage point improvement. The overall decline in performance amongst the PSA schools was also significant – 5.9 percentage points. This was 12.5 percentage points worse than the average for all East Sussex schools. Only one of the PSA schools showed a slight increase; performance at level 5+ fell in nine. Four of the schools showing the most significant drop in performance were faced with acute staffing issues throughout 2004-05 with limited leadership capacity for this subject area, high turnover of staff and difficulty in recruiting well qualified and experienced specialist subject staff. (The Grove School, Seaford Head Community College, Thomas Peacocke Community College and Tideway School). | | | | | | |
| | all comment: The unvalidated 2005 Key Stage 3 results for performance | e at the expected three | eshold level of pupils | gaining level 5+ in E | ast Sussex show: | | |
| | a slight improvement of two percentage points in English; | | | | | | |
| | no change in performance in science; | | | | | | |
| | a two percentage point drop in mathematics. | mont (DCA) torrate | at for 2005 will be m | ot | | | |
| | consequence of these outcomes is that none of the Public Service Agree act: Rose Godfrey, Senior School Improvement Adviser, email: rgodfrey | | | et. | | | |

| lmpr redu | Target 2 ove attendance at schools so that by 2004 school truancies are ced by 10% compared to 2002, sustain the new lower level, and ove overall attendance levels thereafter | Year 1 2003/04 | Year 2 2004/05 | Year 3 2005/06 | Target 2005/06 |
|--------------|--|-------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|
| a) | Percentage of 1/2 days missed due to unauthorised absence in primary schools | 0.47% | 0.8% | 0.78% | 0.32% |
| b) | Percentage of 1/2 days missed due to unauthorised absence in secondary schools | 1.45% | 1.42% | 1.41% | 1.1% |
| R | a) Target not met as authorised absence has been substantially | reduced and more rob | ust procedures being | used by schools to a | uthorise absence |
| R | b) Target not met as authorised absence has been substantially | reduced and more rob | ust procedures being | used by schools to a | uthorise absence |
| R | Overall comment : The focus of attendance issues has changed sin secondary and 21% in primary. | ce the setting of these | targets and overall at | osence has reduced l | by 37% in |
| Cont | act: Penny Lavan, Principal Education Welfare Officer, email: penny.lav | van@eastsussex.gov.u | <u>ık</u> , tel: | | |
| | rall Comment: Year 3 figures given above are provisional only. The ported in 2006/07. | e end of PSA Target fo | or this measure relate | es to academic year 2 | 2005/06 which will |

| PSA Target 3 Enhance the attainment of young people, aged 17, in Hastings & St Leonards who achieve at least 1 GCSE A - G from 91% to 94%. | Year 1 2003/04 | Year 2 2004/05 | Year 3 2005/06 | Target 2005/06 | | | | |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--|--|--|--|
| G Percentage of 16 year olds in secondary schools within the Hastings and St Leonards Action Zone achieving at least one A-G at GCSE | Results availa | ble early 2006 | | 94.0% | | | | |
| Overall comment: Provisional results indicate 95.64% achieved least 1 GCSE A - G from 91% to 94%. A detailed analysis of the target group is being conducted. | | | | | | | | |

Contact: Philip Halstead, Director of Hasting and St Leonards Excellence Cluster, email: philip@hstlexc.net, tel:

| PSA Target 6 | | | Year 3 2005/06 | | | | | | |
|--|---|-------------------|----------------|----|----|-------------------|--|--|--|
| Ensure all looked after children up to 11 years old, where permanence is the best interest decision, are cared for in family placements that can meet their assessed needs and provide permanence by increasing the proportion of 0-11 year olds in permanent family placements from 140 in 2002 to 182. | Year 1 2003/04 | Year 2 2004/05 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Target 2005/06 | | | |
| Number of looked after children aged 0-11 years in permanent family placements | 157 | 173 | (16) 189 | | | 182 | | | |
| Overall comment: Progress towards the permanence target continues to be monitored and audited by the PSA coordinator. The project has been highly successful and has already achieved its final target. It has raised the profile of permanence for this group of children and impacted significantly on performance. The widespread development of good practice in securing permanent placements for looked after children, though, has resulted in an increased demand being placed on the service. There remains a risk that the service will be unable to sustain the increased levels of activity beyond the life of the project if the additional costs are not found within mainstream resources. | | | | | | | | | |
| Contact Teresa Lavelle-Hill, email: <u>teresa.lavelle-hill@eastsusse</u> Sally Carnie, email: <u>sally.carnie@eastsussex.gov.uk</u> , | Joint Heads of LAC Services, tel: 01323 747194 | | | | | | | | |